1 Top 100 research questions for biodiversity conservation in Southeast Asia

- 2 J. L. Coleman^{1,*}, J. S. Ascher¹, D. Bickford², D. Buchori³, A. Cabanban⁴, R.A. Chisholm¹, K.Y. Chong¹, P.
- 3 Christie⁵, G.R. Clements⁶, T.E.E. dela Cruz⁷, W. Dressler⁸, D.P. Edwards⁹, C.M. Francis¹⁰, D.A. Friess¹¹,
- 4 X. Giam¹², L. Gibson¹³, D. Huang¹, A.C. Hughes¹⁴, Z. Jaafar¹, A. Jain¹⁵, L.P. Koh¹⁶, E. P. Kudavidanage^{17,}
- 5 ³⁸, B. P. Y-H. Lee¹⁸, J. Lee¹⁹, T.M. Lee²⁰, M. Leggett²¹, B. Leimona²², M. Linkie²¹, M. Luskin²³, A.
- 6 Lynam²⁴, E. Meijaard²⁵, V. Nijman²⁶, A. Olsson²⁷, S. Page²⁸, P. Parolin²⁹, K.S.-H. Peh³⁰, M.R. Posa¹, G.
- 7 W. Prescott¹, S.A. Rahman³¹, S.J. Ramchunder¹¹, M. Rao³², J. Reed³¹, D.R. Richards³³, E. M. Slade³⁴, R.
- 8 Steinmetz³⁵, P.Y. Tan³⁶, D. Taylor¹¹, P.A. Todd¹, S.T. Vo³⁷, E.L. Webb¹, A.D. Ziegler¹¹, L.R. Carrasco^{1,*}.
- ^{*}Emails for correspondence: joanna.coleman@nus.edu.sg, dbsctlr@nus.edu.sg. Tel: +6591377291.
- 10 Fax: +65 67792486.
- ¹Department of Biological Sciences, National University of Singapore, Singapore.
- 12 ²Department of Biology, University of La Verne, USA.
- 13 ³Bogor Agricultural University, Indonesia.
- 14 ⁴Wetlands International, Philippines.
- ⁵School of Marine and Environmental Affairs and Jackson School of International Studies, University
 of Washington
- ⁶Department of Biological Sciences, Sunway University, Malaysia.
- ⁷Department of Biological Sciences, College of Science, University of Santo Tomas, España Blvd.,
 Manila 1008 Philippines.
- 20 ⁸School of Geography, University of Melbourne, Australia.
- ⁹Department of Animal and Plant Sciences, University of Sheffield, Western Bank, S10 2TN, UK.
- ¹⁰Canadian Wildlife Service, Environment and Climate Change Canada, Ottawa, Canada
- ¹¹Department of Geography, National University of Singapore, 1 Arts Link, Singapore 117570.
- ¹²Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, The University of Tennessee, Knoxville, USA.
- ¹³School of Environmental Science and Engineering, Southern University of Science and Technology,
 Shenzhen, China.
- ¹⁴Centre for Integrative Conservation, Xishuangbanna Tropical Botanical Garden, Chinese Academy
 of Sciences, China.
- 29 ¹⁵BirdLife International (Asia), 354 Tanglin Road, Singapore.

- ¹⁶The Betty and Gordon Moore Center for Science, Conservation International, 2011 Crystal Drive
 #500, Arlington, VA 22202, USA.
- ¹⁷Department of Natural Resources, Faculty of Applied Sciences, Sabaragamuwa University of Sri
 Lanka.
- ¹⁸National Parks Board, Singapore.
- ¹⁹Asian School of the Environment, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore, 50 Nanyang
 Avenue, Block N2-01-C, Singapore 639798.
- ²⁰State Key Laboratory of Biological Control and School of Life Sciences, Sun Yat-sen University,
 China.
- ²¹Wildlife Conservation Society, Indonesia Program, Bogor, Indonesia.
- 40 ²²World Agroforestry Centre, Indonesia.
- 41 ²³Forest Global Earth Observatory Center for Tropical Forest Science, Smithsonian Tropical
- 42 Research Institute, Washington, DC, USA; Asian School of the Environment, Nanyang Technological43 University, Singapore.
- 44 ²⁴Wildlife Conservation Society, Thailand.
- ²⁵Borneo Futures, Block C, Unit C8, Second Floor, Lot 51461, Kampung Kota Batu. Negara Brunei
 Darussalam.
- 47 ²⁶Department of Social Sciences, Oxford Brookes University.
- ²⁷Conservation International, 308 Tanglin Road, #01-02 Phoenix Park Office Campus, 247974,
 Singapore.
- ²⁸School of Geography, Geology and the Environment, University of Leicester, UK
- ²⁹ Université Côte d'Azur, INRA, CNRS, ISA, France.
- ³⁰School of Biological Sciences, University of Southampton, University Road, Southampton SO17 1BJ,
 UK.
- ³¹Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR), Indonesia.
- ³²Wildlife Conservation Society, Singapore.
- ³³ETH Zurich, Singapore-ETH Centre, Singapore.
- ³⁴Department of Zoology, University of Oxford, South Parks Road, Oxford, OX1 3PS, UK & Lancaster
 Environment Centre, Lancaster University, Lancaster, UK
- ³⁵World Wildlife Fund, Thailand.
- ³⁶Department of Architecture, School of Design and the Environment, National University of
- 61 Singapore, Singapore.
- ³⁷Institute of Oceanography, Vietnam Academy of Science and Technology, Vietnam.
- 63 ³⁸Tropical Ecosystem Research Network (TERN) Sri Lanka
- 64

65 Acknowledgements

- 66 The workshop was funded by a generous donation from Haiyi Holdings Pte Ltd. to the Department of
- 67 Biological Sciences of the National University of Singapore.

68 Role of the funding source

The donor mentioned above had no role in the research itself or the preparation of and decision tosubmit the paper for publication.

71 Top 100 research questions for biodiversity conservation in Southeast Asia

72 Abstract

- 73 Southeast (SE) Asia holds high regional biodiversity and endemism levels but is also one of the
- 74 world's most threatened regions. Local, regional and global threats could have severe consequences
- 75 for the future survival of many species and the provision of ecosystem services.
- 76 In the face of myriad pressing environmental problems, we carried out a research prioritisation
- exercise involving 64 experts whose research relates to conservation biology and sustainability in SE
- Asia. Experts proposed the most pressing research questions which, if answered, would advance the
- 79 goals of biodiversity conservation and sustainable development in SE Asia. We received a total of
- 80 333 questions through three rounds of elicitation, ranked them (by votes) following a workshop and
- 81 grouped them into themes.
- 82 The top 100 questions depict SE Asia as a region where strong pressures on biodiversity interact in
- 83 complex and poorly understood ways. They point to a lack of information about multiple facets of
- 84 the environment, while exposing the many threats to biodiversity and human wellbeing. The themes
- 85 that emerged indicate the need to evaluate specific drivers of biodiversity loss (wildlife harvesting,
- agricultural expansion, climate change, infrastructure development, pollution) and even to identify
- 87 which species and habitats are most at risk. They also suggest the need to study the effectiveness of
- 88 practice-based solutions (protected areas, ecological restoration), the human dimension (social
- 89 interventions, organisational systems and processes and, the impacts of biodiversity loss and
- 90 conservation interventions on people). Finally, they highlight gaps in fundamental knowledge of
- 91 ecosystem function. These 100 questions should help prioritise and coordinate research,
- 92 conservation, education and outreach activities and the distribution of scarce conservation
- 93 resources in SE Asia.
- 94 **Key words**: conservation biology, expert elicitation, extinction, research priorities, sustainability.

95 INTRODUCTION

- 96 When it comes to bridging the gap between researchers and decision-makers, there is growing
- 97 recognition of the value of collaborative exercises (research-priority setting and horizon scanning)
- 98 that support conservation priorities (Kark et al. 2016; Sutherland et al. 2011). So far, such
- 99 endeavours have focused on questions of: global importance (e.g., Sutherland et al. 2009), regional
- 100 importance (e.g., Weeks and Adams 2017), national importance (e.g., Morton et al. 2009; Prescott et
- al. 2017; Rudd et al. 2010); or of relevance to specific ecosystems (e.g., Parsons et al. 2014), taxa
- 102 (e.g., Hamann et al. 2010) or conservation threats (e.g., Morris et al. 2016; Pretty et al. 2010). Yet
- 103 tropical regions, despite having the greatest levels of biodiversity and threat globally (Barlow et al.
- 104 2018), have rarely been the explicit focus of research-priority setting and horizon scanning exercises.
- 105 Such exercises seem especially valuable in SE Asia, a region whose biodiversity and rate of species
- discovery are very high (Hughes 2017a) but where conservation threats are pervasive and severe
- 107 (Sodhi et al. 2010b). Causes include rapid land-use and land-cover change concomitant with some of
- 108 the world's fastest regional population growth, economic development, industrialization (Hirsch
- 109 2016) and urbanization (Schneider et al. 2015) and unsustainable natural resource management
- 110 (Wilcove et al. 2013).
- 111 Determining how to strike a balance between development and conservation is especially complex 112 in Southeast (SE) Asia. One reason is that the region (i.e., the 10 member-states in the Association of 113 Southeast Asian, or ASEAN), epitomises income inequality, both within and among nations. The 114 development gap between two of the world's wealthiest countries (Singapore and Brunei) and the 115 other eight is especially vast (see also Carpenter et al. 2013). This creates large disparities in: the 116 capacity to fund conservation research and implement projects, levels of consumption and concern 117 for the environment (e.g., Mills Busa 2012). Another reason is that even though ASEAN membership 118 dictates that all ten national governments cooperate on environmental issues, vast differences in 119 culture, governance, corruption and the rule of law accentuate already challenging transboundary 120 issues (many of which also involve countries outside the region; see also Hirsch 2016). This is 121 exemplified by the issue of hydropower development, which is proceeding apace in SE Asia (Zarfl et 122 al. 2015). In the Mekong, existing and planned dams (especially on the upper reaches in China) stand 123 to massively transform one of the world's most biodiverse and productive river basins, displace 124 millions of SE Asians and eliminate many of the river's ecosystem services (Gibson et al. 2017 and 125 others cited therein).
- 126 In this context, we set out to develop a list of the top research questions which, if answered, would
- 127 substantially advance the goals of biodiversity conservation and sustainable development in SE Asia.
- 128 The ultimately objective of this regional research-priority setting exercise is to identify common
- 129 priorities for research and suggest how to make said research practical and policy-relevant.

130 METHODS

- 131 The first and last authors systematically selected potential contributors, as follows. First, we
- 132 reviewed the list of delegates at the 2016 Joint Meeting of the Society for Conservation Biology (Asia
- 133 Section) and Association for Tropical Biology and Conservation (Asia-Pacific Chapter), held in
- 134 Singapore (<u>https://www.conservationasia2016.org/</u>). We identified all PhD holders who were
- actively doing conservation research in the region. We augmented this list by a Google Scholar
- 136 Search, using the search terms "conservation" AND "Southeast Asia", "sustainability AND "Southeast
- Asia" and "biodiversity" AND "Southeast Asia". We looked for authors who were currently active,
- 138 well-cited and from a range of disciplines including conservation biology, agroforestry, climate
- 139 change, conservation genetics, systematics, disaster-risk reduction, ecology, energy policy,
- 140 conservation policy and advocacy, social sciences, marine protected areas, ocean acidification and
- 141 hypoxia. Finally, we tapped into our networks, i.e., contacts working for government and NGOs and
- 142 colleagues who conduct research in these areas.

143 We generated a list of 114 potential contributors and invited them to participate via email.

144 Participation entailed submitting research questions that addressed this overarching one: "What

145 research question, if answered, would substantially advance the goals of sustainable development

- and biodiversity conservation in SE Asia?" We encouraged participants to tap into their own
- 147 networks to gather questions. We solicited questions (via a Google form or email) that met the same
- 148 eight criteria stipulated by Sutherland et al. (2009). The questions had to: (1) be answerable through
- a realistic research design, (2) be answerable on the basis of facts rather than value judgments, (3)
- address important gaps in knowledge, (4) not be formulated as a general topic area, (5) be of a
- spatial and temporal scale that could be addressed realistically by a research team or program, (6)
- not just be answerable with a response of 'yes', 'no', or 'it depends', (7) if related to impact and
- 153 interventions, contain a subject, an intervention and a measurable outcome (and thus, immediately
- 154 suggest a research design needed to address the question), (8) increase the effectiveness of policy
- about, and management of, resource use and biodiversity in the face of environmental stressors.
- We received a total of 218 questions from 64 individuals, who reported that 364 individuals were involved in generating them. The 52 co-authors of this paper further contributed by reviewing the questions and voting on them, assigning each a score of 5 (top priority), 2 (medium priority) or 0 (low priority) – a system we devised to give more weight to top-priority questions. To make this exercise more practical and policy-relevant, we also asked them to: (1) offer suggestions on how to answer specific questions or key datasets/models that could help answer multiple questions, and (2) identify institutional, decision-making actors and processes that would need to be engaged to
- 163 implement research projects and outputs. Finally, we sought qualitative feedback on questions (e.g.,
- 164 proposing themes, highlighting redundancies, rephrasing) and invited them to suggest any new
- 165 questions they felt were missing from the original set. We received 77 new questions, which
- 166 contributors then voted on in a second round, using the same system.
- 167 We held a two-day workshop (20 to 21, November 2017) in Singapore and 31 contributors attended.
- 168 At the workshop, we discussed questions identified as problematic in the first two rounds of voting
- and asked attendees to propose questions that they still felt were missing. This produced 38 new
- 170 (third-round) questions, and thus a total pool of 333 potential questions. We divided attendees into
- 171 breakout sessions based on fields and countries of expertise to address the aspects of practicality

- 172 (how to answer specific questions) and policy relevance (which actors and processes to engage).
- 173 L.R.C. provided suggestions on how to answer the third-round questions and those not answered
- during the workshop. Finally, the 53 co-authors voted on the 38 third-round questions (after the
- 175 workshop).
- 176 The first and last authors ranked questions by average scores, edited them for readability and
- 177 merged ones we deemed similar. More specifically, we determined that 39 questions in the initial
- top 100 appeared to overlap substantially with others, denoting that multiple experts agreed on
- their importance when proposing them. We merged them into 13 questions, and then promoted the
- 180 next most highly-ranked questions in the list to the top 100. We also deleted one question because
- 181 we decided *post-hoc* that it did not meet criterion 1.
- 182 We also categorized the top 100 questions in two ways to facilitate comparisons with prior and
- 183 future exercises. First, we classified them by approach (as in Kark et al. 2016): descriptive questions
- describe a problem/threat; proactive ones refer to interventions (we classified some as both). Next,
- 185 we assigned them to biome-relevant categories depending on whether they dealt specifically with
- 186 freshwater, marine or terrestrial issues or were not biome-specific (some questions fell into more
- than one category). The last author scored relationships between each of the top 100 questions and
- 188 each of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).

189 **RESULTS**

- 190 We present the top 100 questions in Table 1, organised into 13 themes (although many could fall
- 191 into more than one theme and other groupings are possible). Although we used 100 as a cut-off for
- 192 convenience, natural cut-offs occurred at the ~50th and ~250th questions (Fig. S1), and differences in
- average scores between consecutively-ranked questions are small. Thus, many questions that did
- 194 not make the top 100 are not much lower in priority than those that did. Therefore, we present the
- 195 total pool of questions with their: original ranks, themes, approaches and biome-relevant categories
- 196 (Table S1) along with each theme's retention rate, i.e., proportion of questions voted into the top
- 197 100 (Fig. S2). Retention rates varied from 21.9 to 72.7 %.
- 198 Our top 100 list includes nearly even numbers of descriptive (55) and proactive (53) questions the
- total pool is slightly more biased toward descriptive questions (57 %; Table S1). Most questions are
- not biome-specific (59 in the top 100; 56 % of the total pool), but of those that are, most refer to the
- 201 terrestrial realm (71 and 76 %, of the top 100 and total pool, respectively). Marine-related questions
- represent 22 and 13 %, and freshwater-related questions make up 15 and 11 % of biome-specific
- 203 questions in the top 100 and total pool, respectively.
- 204 The most common practical approaches to answering the top 100 questions (Table S2) involve
- 205 experimentation (e.g., control-impact / before-after) mentioned as key to addressing 33 questions.
- 206 The next most commonly suggested approaches are (in decreasing order): mathematical modeling
- 207 (including Bayesian inference), field surveys, mapping, anthropological / psychology methods and
- 208 the use of existing data. Methodologies mentioned less often include meta-analysis, systematic-
- 209 conservation-planning tools, telemetry, artificial intelligence, market research, valuation, case
- 210 studies, molecular techniques, supply-chain-analysis, network analysis, longitudinal studies and
- 211 matching analysis.
- 212 When it comes to policy relevance (i.e., which agencies and stakeholders to engage in each country;
- SI-1), our exercise identifies a range of actors typically associated with national forestry and
- agriculture departments. It also clearly highlights the need to (1) align research with national
- 215 priorities, (2) understand agencies' key performance indicators and (3) invest time in building
- 216 relationships with policymakers. Bridging the research-implementation gap also involves engaging
- 217 local universities and NGOs. Thus, our exercise points to the value of multi-pronged approaches
- 218 engaging multiple stakeholders at multiple levels.
- The exercise of mapping our questions to the SDGs (Table S3) reveals how strongly our list
 emphasises terrestrial biodiversity, with 81 of the top 100 linked to SDG 15 (life on land). Also wellrepresented are SDG 14 (life below water; 49 questions), SDG 2 (zero hunger; 48 questions) and SDG
 1 (no poverty; 46 questions). However, most questions highlight trade-offs between conservation
 and development and conflicts among the SDGs themselves. For example, a common trade-off was
 between SDGs 1, 2 and 8 (decent work & economic growth) and SDGs 14 and 15.

225 Table 1

- 226 One hundred priority research questions for biodiversity conservation in SE Asia. Questions are
- organised into 13 themes (italicised) and should be regarded as independent units their order does
- 228 not reflect final ranking, but themes are ordered by respective numbers of questions. Merged
- 229 questions are in bold.

| | PROTECTED AREAS (PAs) – PRACTICE-BASED INTERVENTION |
|-----|---|
| 1. | How well are PAs actually protected, and what actions are needed to enhance protection? |
| 2. | What are the factors that determine the effectiveness of PA management? |
| 3. | How effective is enforcement in PAs? |
| 4. | To what extent have existing PAs been degraded by human activities (e.g., encroachment, illegal exploitation, poaching)? |
| 5. | How much of various biodiversity components (e.g., endangered species, phylogenetic and functional diversity, richness, evenness, divergence) do terrestrial and marine PAs in SE Asia currently protect? |
| 6. | How much is invertebrate biodiversity reflected in that of plants and vertebrates? For example, are PAs (often based on mammals) adequately protecting invertebrates? |
| 7. | How should new PAs be selected to maximise the resilience of ecosystems and natural resources? |
| 8. | What longer-term research on food webs and fragmented biodiversity is needed to determine necessary size and shapes of reserves that will stand the test of time? |
| 9. | How sufficiently are data on spawning, nursery and aggregation of fisheries resources considered in planning and zoning of marine PAs (MPAs) in SE Asian seas? |
| 10. | What proportion of species will likely disappear from PAs (i.e., not have viable populations in a given time period) if remaining habitat outside PAs is lost? |
| 11. | What is the potential for PAs (as currently managed) to sustainably generate income for local communities? |
| 12. | How do formal PAs compare with privately-managed lands (by community conservancies, NGOs dedicated to managing land for conservation) in terms of biodiversity conservation value, ES and the range and intensity of threats? |
| 13. | Given increasing regional demand for cement, which karst habitats should be protected, and how should they be managed within a landscape matrix (i.e., how much forest buffer is needed to maintain microclimate)? |
| 14. | What are the most effective approaches to protecting wide-ranging species beyond the boundaries of PAs? |
| 15. | What are the key areas to protect when considering multiple species, the human dimension (e.g., corruption) and future predictions (e.g., climate change)? |
| | WILDLIFE HARVESTING – DRIVER |
| 16. | Where are the wildlife poaching hotspots, and which species are being hunted in them? |
| 17. | How much marine wildlife is landed daily throughout SE Asia, and how much of it is consumed locally, traded nationally and internationally? |
| 18. | What are the regional conservation statuses and sustainable takes of economically- important fishes (e.g., food, live fish trade)? |
| 19. | What are the impacts of international trade on fisheries and marine biodiversity of SE Asian countries? |
| 20. | How do social norms (at local and national scales) affect poaching pressure in PAs? |

- 21. What is the combined impact of wildlife harvesting practices (trapping and hunting) and habitat loss on SE Asia's biodiversity? Would this impact be reversible in future provided deforestation slows down?
- 22. What social science methods and approaches are most effective for researching and obtaining reliable data on the scale and patterns of the illegal wildlife trade (IWT)?
- 23. How can we most effectively identify suppliers, markets and weaknesses in the enforcement of legal systems for the IWT?
- 24. What is the extent of the online trade in illegal wildlife involving SE Asian flora and fauna, and how can we curb it?
- 25. How can we map and quantify, in real-time, the trade in imperiled wildlife within and between SE Asian nations and that leaves SE Asia, thus allowing us to intervene in a timely manner?
- 26. What legal (enacted and enforced existing regulations and agreements, e.g., CITES) and social interventions would most effectively minimise the online and offline IWT?
- 27. What strategies might effectively reduce the demand for and trade in wild animals and animal parts (e.g., for IWT products used in traditional Chinese medicine by high- and middle-class consumers)?
- 28. How long do deterrent effects of conservation interventions (counter-wildlife trafficking, anti-poaching, community outreach) last after cessation, and what factors promote their longevity?

AGRICULTURAL EXPANSION – DRIVER

- 29. Which agricultural commodities are driving degradation/deforestation in intact forest landscapes (especially outside PAs), and how will shifting agricultural trends and government priorities (e.g., food security targets, export targets) impact future forest conversion trends?
- 30. Given different scenarios of projected demand for agricultural expansion in SE Asia, where should we best place new agricultural land to minimise impacts on biodiversity?
- 31. Where is forest loss in SE Asia and where is it due to replacement by tree crops?
- 32. Which best practices would make oil palm plantations more "biodiversity-friendly"?
- 33. How successfully are certification schemes (e.g., RSPO, Rainforest Alliance) preventing deforestation/plantation expansion and meeting environmental/social standards?
- 34. What economic costs and benefits do agricultural and logging companies accrue when they introduce sustainability measures in their supply chains?
- 35. Would identifying new, high-yield varieties of oil palm, eucalyptus and rubber help spare land for nature or perpetuate deforestation in the region?
- 36. What factors influence whether increased land tenure security for smallholder farmers increases or decreases the probability of deforestation?
- 37. What are the differences between lands held by corporations and smallholders in terms of soil health & above-ground biodiversity under agricultural cover?
- 38. What are the impacts of expanding rubber plantations on stream water quality, aquatic biodiversity and human livelihoods?
- 39. What has been the impact of forest / peatland fires on conservation objectives and priorities in affected SE Asian countries?

ECOSYSTEM SERVICES (ES) & HUMAN WELLBEING

- 40. What are the values of key ES in SE Asia?
- 41. Which changes to biodiversity pose the greatest risk to essential ES?

- 42. How does landscape structure affect ES and functional diversity?
- 43. How do habitat degradation and declining biodiversity alter the prevalence of diseases (communicable and non-communicable)?
- 44. Are global threats identified for bees and other pollinators demonstrable in SE Asia and, if so, are the drivers the same?
- 45. How can the benefits of (eco)tourism be maximised while minimising adverse impacts on terrestrial and marine ecosystems?
- 46. What livelihood support programs would most effectively raise the level of support for forest conservation among marginalized, forest-dependent people?
- 47. What is the economic benefit-cost ratio of preserving remaining catchment forests in floodprone areas of SE Asia?
- 48. What are the key factors underlying win-win outcomes for biodiversity and poverty alleviation in biodiversity hotspots?
- 49. What are the factors that determine the equitability of the outcomes of a conservation intervention?

DOCUMENTING BIODIVERSITY LOSS & DRIVERS

- 50. Can we quantify (e.g., taxonomically, geographically) the biggest threats to biodiversity, as well as how they vary in space and time?
- 51. What is the rate of extirpations of coastal species within each country?
- 52. What endemic species are at risk in karst habitats? How are these habitats and species distributed, and where are the current threats due to mining for limestone?
- 53. Are areas of endemism and microhabitats that serve as reservoirs of threatened biodiversity for under-researched taxa (e.g., insects, fungi) being overlooked due to gaps in data and traditional focus on megafauna and flora?
- 54. Where are the priority regions in SE Asia to save island and mainland endemics from extinction, and what are the most resource-effective ways to do so?
- 55. Which areas in SE Asia will see an increase, decrease or no change in the human footprint over the next 10-20 years?
- 56. What trade flows entail the highest risk of invasive species and associated diseases entering and establishing in SE Asia?
- 57. What are the political, social and economic drivers of ecosystem degradation? What approaches would be practical and effective to reduce this threat to biological integrity?
- 58. What are the main drivers of deforestation within Key Biodiversity Areas (KBAs) in SE Asia?

CLIMATE CHANGE – DRIVER

- 59. What are species' responses to climate change (i.e., shifting distribution, population increase/decrease) in SE Asia?
- 60. What species (e.g., endemic, economically important, keystone) and ecosystems are most likely to be adversely affected by climate change, and why?
- 61. How can we quantify the impacts of climate change on coastal ecosystems in this region?
- 62. How do we identify and prioritise which species / communities should be the focus of investment in climate change adaptation?
- 63. What will be the synergies, interactions and cumulative impacts of existing stressors and climate change on natural ecosystems, and the implications of those for managing ecosystems and natural resources?
- 64. Is the dispersal / range shift of species in response to climate change restricted by habitat availability and / or anthropogenic barriers?

- 65. Are protected area (PA) networks in SE Asian countries ready to address species' range shifts due to climate change?
- 66. How will climate change affect major cash crops in the region?

| | RESTORATION – PRACTICE-BASED INTERVENTION |
|-----|--|
| 67. | Where and when is ecological restoration a cost-effective conservation strategy in SE Asia? And which restoration areas would yield the best biodiversity outcomes regardless of cost? |
| 68. | What factors can be manipulated to accelerate forest succession in degraded tropical peat swamps and restore their hydrological and carbon sequestration functions? |
| 69. | Where are hotspots of idle / degraded land in SE Asia that could be reforested, and what is the economic benefit-cost ratio of doing so? |
| 70. | How does the biodiversity value of logged tropical forests increase, remain unchanged or decline over time? |
| 71. | What are the best management strategies (e.g., removing or retaining old palms, enrichment planting) for restoring riparian areas in plantation / human-modified landscapes? |
| 72. | How successful are ecological restoration projects that target coastal systems, and how do we define 'success'? |
| | ECOSYSTEM FUNCTION |
| 73. | What are the ecological consequences of the widespread loss of large herbivores (e.g., rhinos, elephants, orangutans) and top predators in SE Asian ecosystems? |
| 74. | How much future connectivity (e.g., as corridors) is needed to maintain current levels of biodiversity in SE Asia's increasingly fragmented landscapes? And how do habitat requirements in corridors vary among species? |
| 75. | What freshwater species (e.g., endemic, keystone) and ecosystem functions are most likely to be adversely affected by contemporary management? |
| 76. | How much forest cover is needed to maintain a healthy gene pool of the top predators / narrowly endemic species in each habitat? |
| 77. | What are the trophic effects of the fisheries decline in the South China Sea? |
| | INFRASTRUCTURE – DRIVER |
| 78. | Of the infrastructure projects (e.g., roads, dams, railroads, mines, energy projects) imminently planned for SE Asia, which ones are most damaging in terms of overall impacts on biodiversity and ES? |
| 79. | Which priority biodiversity areas and species will be affected by planned hydropower dams in SE Asia? |
| 80. | What are the impacts of regional infrastructure development (e.g., coastal reclamation, dams, roads, powerlines/grids, shipping lanes) on migratory species (e.g., populations, movement routes/corridors, feeding and breeding grounds), and what priority interventions are needed to mitigate these impacts in terrestrial and marine biomes? |
| 81. | Are wind turbines causing mortality of birds and/or bats in SE Asia and, if so, is it large enough to warrant mitigation strategies? If large, which mitigation strategies would be most cost-effective? |
| 82. | To what extent and how quickly has coastal development changed ecological connectivity of marine organisms (e.g., ability to disperse and settle)? |
| | SOCIAL INTERVENTIONS |

^{83.} Which interventions would effectively change peoples' minds about conservation in SE Asia?

- 84. How effective have various interventions (e.g., media campaigns, outreach, disincentives, policy instruments) been in reducing demand for wildlife products in the region while considering cultural factors and socioeconomic status of the target audience?
- 85. Which intervention campaigns targeted at promoting pro-environmental attitudes and reducing consumer demand (e.g., wildlife trade, plastic pollution and high carbon-footprint products) by urbanites have succeeded and why?
- 86. What are the preferences of youth when it comes to conservation (e.g., strategies that can get them to care about it, impacts of their declining involvement in agriculture)?

TRADE-OFFS BETWEEN DEVELOPMENT & CONSERVATION

- 87. What are the socioeconomic opportunity costs of biodiversity conservation in SE Asia?
- 88. How can we mobilise support from local communities for large-scale conservation?
- 89. How much forest (in extent and proportion of total land area) in each SE Asian nation could be preserved while allowing the rest to be converted and developed to contribute to national development targets (e.g., regional GDP, per capita income above the poverty line)?
- 90. How should urban development proceed so that its impacts on biodiversity are minimised?
- 91. What are the current extent and biodiversity value of natural or semi-natural areas (e.g., selectively-logged forests) outside formal PAs, and what policy drivers and approaches would encourage retention (i.e., discourage conversion to other land uses)?

ORGANISATIONAL SYSTEMS & PROCESSES

- 92 How can we improve the transfer of knowledge from academics to decision-makers bearing in mind the cultural context of SE Asia?
- 93 What kinds of landscape planning tools do decision-makers in SE Asian countries want, and how would such tools impact planning decisions?
- 94 How do we plan for the transboundary conservation of highly mobile taxa to ensure there is adequate habitat remaining in their natural range?
- 95 How do government policies and consumer demand in some countries affect agricultural development and resource extraction and associated environmental impacts in other countries? And how do regional associations (e.g., ASEAN, Belt and Road Initiative) affect these international relationships?
- 96 How can we improve transboundary cooperation on environmental impact assessments for projects that span multiple nations, e.g., Upper Mekong dams?

POLLUTION – DRIVER

- 97 What and where are the most vulnerable ecosystems to environmental pollution (e.g., acid deposition, eutrophication)?
- 98 What are the impacts of pesticides used on a large scale in plantations on invertebrates?
- 99 What are the sources (geographic and sector) of plastics entering the environment?
- 100 What are the ecological effects of haze resulting from forest fires in the region on terrestrial and marine ecosystems?

230

231 DISCUSSION

- 232 Our identification of the top 100 questions for biodiversity conservation in SE Asia and the themes
- that emerged give an overview of research areas that experts commonly identified as priorities for
- 234 SE Asia. Of those considered biodiversity-loss drivers, the most "populous" ones, i.e., with the most
- questions, are (1) wildlife harvesting and (2) agricultural expansion (illustrated in Fig. 1). This
- outcome mirrors Maxwell et al.'s (2016) breakdown of the main global drivers of loss for threatened
- and near-threatened species. However, by emphasising both issues more than Sutherland et al.'s
- 238 (2009) global list does, our exercise suggests they are especially important in the region.
- 239 The theme of **wildlife harvesting** (including of flora) is dominated by questions about the trade (legal
- and illegal), which threatens (animal) biodiversity in SE Asia more than in any other region (Nijman
- 241 2010; Rosen and Smith 2010), and the basic nature of some of them shows how little is known.
- 242 Before assessing the effectiveness of interventions (as several questions call for), we must quantify
- the volume of the trade and identify where it is occurring and who is involved. There is also a need
- to understand exploitative activities on the ground (as highlighted by Q19). For example, the
- practice of trapping songbirds for the caged bird trade is poorly documented even though it is
- driving several Indonesian species to extinction (e.g., Bergin et al. 2017; Harris et al. 2016). It is also
- worth noting that the trade does not just involve SE Asian species. For example, the trade in rhino
 horn mainly flows from South Africa to Viet Nam (Milliken and Shaw 2012), and Singapore is a major
- shipment hub and consuming country of African parrots (Poole and Shepherd 2016). Therefore,
- 250 tackling some of these questions in SE Asia stands to benefit the conservation of biodiversity outside
- the region, and we hope new tools being developed (e.g., Di Minin et al. 2018) will make doing so in
- the digital age easier.

253 The issue of agricultural expansion in SE Asia has received substantial research attention (e.g., 254 Laurance et al. 2014; Wilcove et al. 2013) and there has been progress in mapping specific threats 255 and conversion of forests to human land uses (Gaveau et al. 2014; Hughes 2017b; Miettinen et al. 256 2011; Richards and Friess 2016). However, the state of affairs is far from an ideal scenario in which 257 fragmentation of forests by infrastructure and specific maps for each crop replacing them are 258 remotely monitored in real-time, or at least often enough to elicit meaningful action. This data gap 259 in mapping, combined with limited comprehensive information about locations of concessions, 260 hinders progress in four critical areas: (1) assessing the drivers of deforestation and degradation in 261 PAs and other KBAs, (2) determining the effectiveness of interventions, such as certification schemes (but see Carlson et al. 2017; Cattau et al. 2016; Morgans et al. 2018), (3) predicting where crops will 262 expand next and (4) implementing conservation planning that accounts for this expansion. The 263 264 mapping gap is compounded by scarce data on the ground. The effects of interventions that provide land tenure to smallholders or enable planting of higher-yield varieties and the role of corruption 265 remain equally poorly understood. 266

Our top 100 list also features climate-change as a key theme, albeit with a lower proportion of
questions than in the global research priorities list (Sutherland et al. 2009) or the list for Oceania
(Weeks and Adams 2017). However, considering relative retention rates and numbers of questions,

- 270 our list emphasises climate change over **infrastructure** or **pollution** in contrast to Maxwell et al.'s
- 271 (2016) finding that it was the lesser of the three threats for threatened and near-threatened species.
- In SE Asia, some thorough work has been done on birds (e.g., Bagchi et al 2013, Harris et al 2014),

- but our questions illustrate the need to identify the most vulnerable species and systems and
- 274 produce data that can help predict responses. This knowledge is critical to planning and adaptively
- 275 managing mitigation strategies (e.g., PA networks, corridors) that maximise the abilities of
- vulnerable species to disperse and persist (see also Heller and Zavaleta 2009). As suggested by Qs
- 277 63, 64, 65, researchers must also investigate interactions between climate change and other
- 278 conservation threats, especially given that the impacts of these interactions in SE Asia likely vary
- 279 greatly depending on the location, taxon and research question asked (Mantyka-Pringle et al. 2015).
- 280 Indeed, climate change is deeply related to the themes of **pollution** and **infrastructure**, both of
- which are very problematic in SE Asia given its rapid development and rising consumption. Basic
- 282 knowledge of how, where and the extent to which plastics, pesticides, acids and nutrients affect
- 283 ecosystems is still lacking, although recent work found SE Asia's reefs to be highly contaminated with
- 284 plastic, with clear links to coral disease (Lamb et al. 2018). There is also a need to understand how
- existing and future infrastructure projects, especially dams and roads, affect biodiversity that is if
- impacts are to be minimised or mitigated (Clements et al. 2014). International coordination for large
- projects, such as the Belt and Road Initiative (Lechner et al. 2018), will be critical, especially when it
- 288 comes to transparent and evidence-based environmental impact assessments.
- 289 Even though our experts pinpointed the five threats above as the most pressing (based on numbers
- 290 of questions), the emergence of a theme whose questions aim to **document the loss of biodiversity**
- and its drivers again reveals the need for the most basic knowledge (e.g., rates of extirpations,
- locations and reasons for the loss of species). Some questions raise underlying issues not specific to
- 293 SE Asia. For example, Q61 (like Q6 and several others in Table S1) reflects the fact that some species
- 294 go overlooked or underserved by conservation efforts due to the use of surrogate species (e.g.,
- Andelman and Fagan 2000; Douglas and Winkel 2014), though this may also result from the decline
- of taxonomy (Hopkins and Freckleton 2006). Other questions depict issues that may be especially
- pressing in SE Asia. One (also raised in Q13 and others in Table S1) is that the region's limestone
- karst areas, despite being sensitive ecosystems with very high rates of endemicity, are (1) poorly
 protected and (2) more severely threatened by cement-quarrying than karst habitats elsewhere
- 300 (Clements et al. 2006).
- 301 Two themes revolve around practice-based interventions: **PAs** and **restoration**, with the former the
- most "populous" theme of all. Our emphasis on PAs is unsurprising PAs are the main conservation
- tools worldwide but it contrasts with earlier lists (Sutherland et al. 2009; Weeks and Adams 2017)
 which contained few questions on PAs. Although their effectiveness has been assessed by remote-
- solution contained lew questions on PAS. Attribugh then effectiveness has been assessed by remote solution some ASEAN countries (Gaveau et al. 2009; Papworth et al. 2017; Santika et al. 2015),
- 306 questions remain about their biodiversity coverage (especially for less-studied taxa) and ongoing
- 307 destructive activities within them. Therefore, researchers should engage PA managers to assess the
- 308 situation on the ground and document actual enforcement, and perform biodiversity surveys,
- analyses of threats and formal studies of how well PAs are managed (Coad et al. 2015). Further, with
- 310 SE Asia identified as the region in which the conservation outcomes of PAs are most likely to be
- hindered by conflicts between their objectives and needs of locals (Oldekop et al. 2015),
- 312 conservationists must determine the best way to ensure they provide socioeconomic benefits too
- 313 (e.g., Bennett and Dearden 2014).

- 314 Despite being less "populous", **restoration** had the second-highest retention rate of all themes. This
- 315 may reflect a general expansion of conservation practice. Where anthropogenic pressures on
- biodiversity are especially intense as in SE Asia (see also Hughes 2017a) it makes sense to focus
- 317 on species still present in disturbed habitats, e.g., selectively-logged forests (Giam et al. 2011), and
- on restoring degraded land (Gibson et al. 2011; Sodhi et al. 2010b) instead of just aiming to preserve
- 319 "pristine" habitats. However, as our exercise shows, obstacles to doing so include identifying the
- 320 locations of degraded land in SE Asia, predicting where restoration efforts will be most cost-effective
- and determining the best approach to restore abandoned plantations and complex systems (e.g.,
- 322 degraded peatland).
- 323 Of course, the success of any conservation intervention (e.g., planning reserves and corridors,
- 324 establishing quotas for sustainable harvests) demands solid ecological knowledge of the systems to
- be protected or restored. The theme of **ecosystem function** (including the fact that it was more
- populous than that of PAs in the total pool) reveals fundamental knowledge gaps. For example, we
- 327 still do not fully grasp the ecological consequences of biodiversity loss in SE Asia, e.g., for seed
- dispersal (see also McConkey et al. 2012) or (as suggested by Q43) the emergence and prevalence of
- disease (Pienkowski et al. 2017). Nor are we sure of species-specific habitat requirements (in
- amount, configuration and quality) in fragmented landscapes. Riparian reserves within agricultural
- 331 monocultures seem important to certain SE Asian taxa, but their necessary dimensions and
- 332 contributions to connectivity and conservation of biodiversity are just beginning to be understood
- 333 (Giam et al. 2015; Mitchell et al. 2018).
- 334 Twenty questions in our top 100 are in the themes of **ES & human wellbeing**, social interventions
- and organisational systems and processes, and some questions in other themes also target human /
- social outcomes. Furthermore, the theme of ES & human wellbeing was the most populous one in
- 337 our total pool. However, compared to research priority lists for the world (Sutherland et al. 2009)
- and Oceania (Weeks and Adams 2017), our top 100 list has relatively fewer questions in all these
- themes. Still, our exercise (the set of questions and their links to the SDGs) clearly reveals expert
- 340 consensus that people are at the heart of SE Asia's biodiversity crisis and its solution.
- 341 We point out the pressing need to document **ES and the extent to which livelihoods rely on them**,
- especially to fill gaps relating to valuating ES such as pollination and flood control. Such knowledge
- 343 could help avoid market failures caused by policies that do not capture the importance of Nature to
- 344 SE Asian communities (Brander et al. 2012; Leimona et al. 2015). It is equally pressing to develop
- 345 well-informed **social interventions** given that outreach and education can change the conservation
- 346 attitudes and practices of SE Asians, e.g., promoting respect for PAs (Sodhi et al. 2010a), decreasing
- hunting (e.g., Steinmetz et al. 2014). The challenge lies in discovering how to tailor interventions to
- one of the most ethnically and culturally diverse regional publics (Clarke 2001) and especially to
- 349 create inclusive strategies that engage indigenous and other marginalised people (e.g., Ferse et al. 2010). Pute et al. 2010). These research successions and other marginalised people (e.g., Ferse et al.
- 2010; Putz et al. 2012). These research questions are no doubt only answerable by borrowingmethodologies from psychology and behavioural economics.
- 352 Questions in the theme of **organisational systems and processes** revolve around bridging the gap
- between research and decision-making and transboundary cooperation. With telecoupling on the
- 354 rise, so too are the benefits of enhanced collaboration among ASEAN nations on conservation issues
- 355 (Runting et al. 2015). Such collaboration is especially critical for species whose ranges cross borders,

- i.e., migratory birds (Yong et al. 2017) and bats (Epstein et al. 2009), marine wildlife and large
- mammals (Woodruff 2010). It is also key to tackling the wildlife trade (e.g., Sodhi et al. 2011) and
 recurrent failures in managing the global commons, as exemplified by the challenges of handling fire
 and haze (Lee et al. 2016).
- 360 Finally, the emergence of a theme on the **trade-offs between conservation and development** is
- 361 telling. Its questions (as do several others) call for cost-benefit analyses and/or spatial planning to
- reconcile multiple demands on Nature (see also Koh and Ghazoul 2010), much like the outcome of
- 363 mapping our questions to the relevant SDGs. This speaks to the crux of the conservation conundrum
- 364 how much biodiversity can we "save" while minimising opportunity costs to development.
- We initiated this priority-setting exercise on the basis that it would offer new information, i.e., that 365 366 research needs for biodiversity conservation and sustainable development are context-dependent 367 and that SE Asia's are therefore, at least to some extent, unique. A comparison between our top 100 368 questions and those identified by prior exercises corroborates this. For instance, of the top 100 369 global questions (Sutherland et al. 2009), only 16 are like ours. Some are narrowly similar, e.g., its 370 Q21 (How will climate change affect global food production, and what are the resulting consequences for ecosystems and agrobiodiversity?) is very like our Q66. Others are only broadly so, 371 372 e.g., its Q29 (What are the human well-being costs and benefits of protected areas, how are these 373 distributed, and how do they vary with governance, resource tenure arrangements, and site 374 characteristics?) is somewhat like our Q11. Similarly, of the 38 questions for Oceania (Weeks and 375 Adams 2017), seven were narrowly or broadly similar to questions in our top 100. However, one of 376 the most striking differences between our exercise and previous ones (e.g., Kark et al. 2016; Rudd et 377 al. 2010; Sutherland et al. 2009; Weeks and Adams 2017) is the emergence of wildlife harvesting and 378 agricultural expansion as major themes – with 24 of our top questions. These are the same two 379 drivers that Sodhi et al. (2004) identified as posing the biggest risk to biodiversity in SE Asia. Indeed, 380 the fact that SE Asia's rate of deforestation is higher than that of any other region and is accelerating 381 is largely attributable to the growing market for agricultural commodities (Wilcove et al 2013). But 382 even where forests remain intact, hunting is often so intense that they are being emptied of wildlife - a problem driven largely by the often illegal trade (Nijman 2010). 383

384 Limitations and challenges

Identifying top research priorities for conservation and sustainable development in SE Asia remains a
useful exercise, but with some limitations. The main, unavoidable, one is that the pool of questions
necessarily reflects the pool of contributors, whose characteristics are a potential source of bias. For
instance, more than half of invited contributors declined to participate, and we did not identify the
factors behind this self-selection bias.

390 Another source of bias is the fact that all but two contributors were affiliated with universities, other 391 research institutions and NGOs – most of whom focus on biodiversity conservation. It would be ideal 392 if future exercises included more diverse participants, especially decision-makers and more people 393 who do conservation work on the ground (Game et al. 2013). Indeed, had our authorship consisted 394 of different stakeholders, such as agribusiness leaders or government representatives, perhaps the 395 set of questions would have been more anthropocentric and focused on human development issues 396 and less so on threats to biodiversity. Still, we set out to generate a list of priority research 397 questions, and because we expected researchers to be the people most familiar with research gaps,

- 398 we solicited their participation, as prior exercises have done (e.g., Kark et al. 2016 and others cited
- 399 therein). Moreover, our list contains roughly equal numbers of descriptive and proactive questions,
- 400 signifying our aim to suggest research directions that will ultimately trigger meaningful, durable
- 401 change (see also Kark et al. 2016).

402 Finally, like most research-priority setting exercises (see also Sutherland et al. 2011), ours was biased 403 by the areas of expertise of our contributors. Biome-wise, about 14 % were freshwater or marine 404 experts. This bias could explain why few of our questions specifically address aquatic issues. 405 However, it also mirrors that of the broader research community, with 72 % (Hendriks and Duarte 406 2008) to 83 % (Tydecks et al. 2018) of biodiversity studies focusing on terrestrial systems, and research-priority setting exercises typified by low emphasis on aquatic issues (Kark et al. 2016). 407 408 Specialisation-wise, our group was dominated by conservation biologists/scientists, and then 409 geographers, even though we also targeted sustainable-development experts in our Google Scholar 410 search. Geographically, although our contributors included experts who do research in most ASEAN 411 countries or in SE Asia generally, we failed to engage any specifically based in Cambodia, Lao PDR 412 and Myanmar – the same ASEAN countries that Giam and Wilcove (2012) identified as being most

- 413 lacking in published conservation research.
- Given these caveats, our top 100 list (and even the total pool of questions in table S1) should be
- 415 viewed as a subset of all key questions that could have been identified. Nonetheless, we believe our
- 416 outcome represents a large proportion of important questions shared by conservation researchers
- 417 and practitioners in the region.

418 Conclusion

- 419 Our top 100 priority research questions depict SE Asia as a region in which extreme pressures on
- 420 biodiversity occur and interact with each other in complex and poorly-understood ways. It also
- 421 depicts regional problems of (1) scarce conservation funding (Wilson et al. 2016), especially for
- 422 transboundary research given the lack of an effective ASEAN-wide funding agency and (2) low
- 423 governmental prioritisation of biodiversity research (see also Woodruff 2010). In this context, on-
- 424 the-ground information, e.g., on species distributions, livelihoods or threats, is especially valuable.
- 425 Finally, the basic nature of several of our top 100 questions (and of others in the total pool) seems 426 symptomatic of an insidious problem. There is less conservation-relevant research being done (and 427 published) in SE Asia than in many other regions – the result of insufficient funding and capacity, 428 especially in the lowest-income countries (Giam and Wilcove 2012). Therefore, we hope this paper 429 will stimulate the development of useful studies to engage a generation of SE Asian researchers, 430 whose work will meaningfully advance the urgently-needed conservation of SE Asia's biodiversity. 431 Moreover, we hope it provides useful suggestions on how to bridge the research-implementation 432 gap, so that research outcomes can be communicated to decision-makers, operationalised and
- 433 translated into action.



434

435 Fig. 1. Images illustrating four biodiversity-loss drivers identified as key priority research themes.

A) People collecting seafood in a coastal area of Makassar, Indonesia, illustrating the problem of
unsustainable wildlife harvesting; Conor Ashleigh/The Asia Foundation, 2014.

B) Slash and burn agriculture occurring within Tesso Nilo National Park, Indonesia, illustrating the
issues of illegal deforestation for agriculture and the SE Asian haze; Rhett Butler, 2015.

440 C) Pramuka bird market in Jakarta is one SE Asia's largest – more than 16,000 individual birds were

- 441 observed to be for sale over a three-day period (Chng et al. 2015) illustrating the scale of the
- 442 wildlife trade the greatest conservation threat to many SE Asian species, including many songbirds;
- 443 Michael Lane © 123RF.com.

444 D) Forest guards track tiger tracks in Thailand, where the threat of poaching exists even within

445 protected areas; Gregory McCann, 2013.

446 References

- Andelman, S.J., Fagan, W.F., 2000. Umbrellas and flagships: efficient conservation surrogates or
 expensive mistakes? Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 97, 5954-5959.
- Barlow, J., França, F., Gardner, T.A., Hicks, C.C., Lennox, G.D., Berenguer, E., Castello, L., Economo,
- 450 E.P., Ferreira, J., Guénard, B., Gontijo Leal, C., Isaac, V., Lees, A.C., Parr, C.L., Wilson, S.K., Young, P.J.,
- 451 Graham, N.A.J., 2018. The future of hyperdiverse tropical ecosystems. Nature 559, 517-526.
- 452 Bennett, N.J., Dearden, P., 2014. Why local people do not support conservation: Community
- 453 perceptions of marine protected area livelihood impacts, governance and management in Thailand.454 Marine Policy 44, 107-116.
- 455 Bergin, D., Chng, S.C.L., Eaton, J.A., Shepherd, C.R., 2017. The final straw? An overview of Straw-
- 456 headed Bulbul *Pycnonotus zeylanicus* trade in Indonesia. Bird Conservation International 28, 126457 132.
- 458 Brander, L., Wagtendonk, A.J., Hussain, S.S., McVittie, A., Verburg, P.H., de Groot, R.S., van der Ploeg,
- S., 2012. Ecosystem service values for mangroves in Southeast Asia: A meta-analysis and value
 transfer application. Ecosystem Services 1, 62-69.
- 461 Carlson, K.M., Heilmayr, R., Gibbs, H.K., Noojipady, P., Burns, D.N., Morton, D.C., Walker, N.F., Paoli,
- 462 G.D., Kremen, C., 2017. Effect of oil palm sustainability certification on deforestation and fire in
 463 Indonesia. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences.
- 464 Carpenter, D., Zulkifli, I., McGillivray, M., 2013. Narrowing the development gap in ASEAN: context
- and approach, In Narrowing the Development Gap in ASEAN: Drivers and Policy Options. eds M.
- 466 McGillivray, D. Carpenter, pp. 1-20. Routledge, London, UK.
- 467 Cattau, M.E., Marlier, M.E., DeFries, R., 2016. Effectiveness of Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil
 468 (RSPO) for reducing fires on oil palm concessions in Indonesia from 2012 to 2015. Environmental
 469 Research Letters 11, 105007.
- 470 Chng, S.C.L., Eaton, J.A., Krishnasamy, K., Shepherd, C.R., Nijman, V., 2015. In the market for 471 extinction: an inventory of Jakarta's bird markets., Petaling Jaya, Selangor, Malaysia.
- 472 Clarke, G., 2001. From ethnocide to ethnodevelopment? Ethnic minorities and indigenous peoples in
 473 Southeast Asia. Third World Quarterly 22, 413-436.
- 474 Clements, G.R., Lynam, A.J., Gaveau, D., Yap, W.L., Lhota, S., Goosem, M., Laurance, S., Laurance,
- W.F., 2014. Where and how are roads endangering mammals in Southeast Asia's forests? PLOS ONE9, e115376.
- 477 Clements, R., Sodhi, N.S., Schilthuizen, M., Ng, P.K.L., 2006. Limestone karsts of Southeast Asia:
 478 imperiled arks of biodiversity. BioScience 56, 733-742.
- 479 Coad, L., Leverington, F., Knights, K., Geldmann, J., Eassom, A., Kapos, V., Kingston, N., de Lima, M.,
- 480 Zamora, C., Cuardros, I., Nolte, C., Burgess, N.D., Hockings, M., 2015. Measuring impact of protected
- 481 area management interventions: current and future use of the Global Database of Protected Area
- 482 Management Effectiveness. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences483 370.
- 484 Di Minin, E., Fink, C., Tenkanen, H., Hiippala, T., 2018. Machine learning for tracking illegal wildlife
 485 trade on social media. Nature Ecology & Evolution 2, 406-407.
- 486 Douglas, L.R., Winkel, G., 2014. The flipside of the flagship. Biodiversity and Conservation 23, 979-487 997.
- 488 Epstein, J.H., Olival, K.J., Pulliam, J.R.C., Smith, C., Westrum, J., Hughes, T., Dobson, A.P., Zubaid, A.,
- 489 Rahman, S.A., Basir, M.M., Field, H.E., Daszak, P., 2009. *Pteropus vampyrus*, a hunted migratory
- species with a multinational home-range and a need for regional management. Journal of Applied
- 491 Ecology 46, 991-1002.

- 492 Ferse, S.C.A., Mañez Costa, M., Mañez, K.S., Adhuri, D.S., Glaser, M., 2010. Allies, not aliens:
- increasing the role of local communities in marine protected area implementation. EnvironmentalConservation 37, 23-34.
- 495 Game, E.T., Kareiva, P., Possingham, H.P., 2013. Six common mistakes in conservation priority
 496 setting. Conservation Biology 27, 480-485.
- 497 Gaveau, D.L.A., Epting, J., Lyne, O., Linkie, M., Kumara, I., Kanninen, M., Leader-Williams, N., 2009.
- 498 Evaluating whether protected areas reduce tropical deforestation in Sumatra. Journal of499 Biogeography 36, 2165-2175.
- 500 Gaveau, D.L.A., Sloan, S., Molidena, E., Yaen, H., Sheil, D., Abram, N.K., Ancrenaz, M., Nasi, R.,
- 501 Quinones, M., Wielaard, N., Meijaard, E., 2014. Four decades of forest persistence, clearance and 502 logging on Borneo. PLOS ONE 9, e101654.
- 503 Giam, X., Clements, G.R., Aziz, S.A., Chong, K.Y., Miettinen, J., 2011. Rethinking the 'back to 504 wilderness' concept for Sundaland's forests. Biological Conservation 144, 3149-3152.
- 505 Giam, X., Hadiaty, R.K., Tan, H.H., Parenti, L.R., Wowor, D., Sauri, S., Chong, K.Y., Yeo, D.C.J., Wilcove,
- 506 D.S., 2015. Mitigating the impact of oil-palm monoculture on freshwater fishes in Southeast Asia.
 507 Conservation Biology 29, 1357-1367.
- 508 Giam, X., Wilcove, D.S., 2012. The geography of conservation ecology research in Southeast Asia: 509 current biases and future opportunities. Raffles Bulletin of Zoology Suppl 25, 29–36.
- 510 Gibson, L., Lee, T.M., Koh, L.P., Brook, B.W., Gardner, T.A., Barlow, J., Peres, C.A., Bradshaw, C.J.A.,
- 511 Laurance, W.F., Lovejoy, T.E., Sodhi, N.S., 2011. Primary forests are irreplaceable for sustaining 512 tropical biodiversity. Nature 478, 378-381.
- 513 Gibson, L., Wilman, E.N., Laurance, W.F., 2017. How green is 'green' energy? Trends in Ecology & 514 Evolution 32, 922-935.
- Hamann, M., Godfrey, M.H., Seminoff, J.A., Arthur, K., Barata, P.C.R., Bjorndal, K.A., Bolten, A.B.,
- 516 Broderick, A.C., Campbell, L.M., Carreras, C., Casale, P., Chaloupka, M., Chan, S.K.F., Coyne, M.S.,
- 517 Crowder, L.B., Diez, C.E., Dutton, P.H., Epperly, S.P., FitzSimmons, N.N., Formia, A., Girondot, M.,
- 518 Hays, G.C., Cheng, I.S., Kaska, Y., Lewison, R., Mortimer, J.A., Nichols, W.J., Reina, R.D., Shanker, K.,
- 519 Spotila, J.R., Tomás, J., Wallace, B.P., Work, T.M., Zbinden, J., Godley, B.J., 2010. Global research
- priorities for sea turtles: informing management and conservation in the 21st century. EndangeredSpecies Research 11, 245-269.
- 522 Harris, J.B.C., Tingley, M.W., Hua, F., Yong, D.L., Adeney, J.M., Lee, T.M., Marthy, W., Prawiradilaga,
- 523 D.M., Sekercioglu, C.H., Suyadi, Winarni, N., Wilcove, D.S., 2016. Measuring the impact of the pet 524 trade on Indonesian birds. Conservation Biology 31, 394-405.
- 525 Heller, N.E., Zavaleta, E.S., 2009. Biodiversity management in the face of climate change: A review of
- 526 22 years of recommendations. Biological Conservation 142, 14-32.
- 527 Hendriks, I.E., Duarte, C.M., 2008. Allocation of effort and imbalances in biodiversity research.
- 528 Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 360, 15-20.
- 529 Hirsch, P., 2016. Introduction: the environment in Southeast Asia's past, present and future, In
- 530 Routledge Handbook of the Environment in Southeast Asia. ed. P. Hirsch, pp. 23-33. Routledge,
- 531 London, UK.
- 532 Hopkins, G.W., Freckleton, R.P., 2006. Declines in the numbers of amateur and professional
- taxonomists: implications for conservation. Animal Conservation 5, 245-249.
- Hughes, A.C., 2017a. Understanding the drivers of Southeast Asian biodiversity loss. Ecosphere 8,e01624.
- Hughes, A.C., 2017b. Mapping priorities for conservation in Southeast Asia. Biological Conservation209, 395-405.
- 538 Kark, S., Sutherland, W.J., Shanas, U., Klass, K., Achisar, H., Dayan, T., Gavrieli, Y., Justo-Hanani, R.,
- 539 Mandelik, Y., Orion, N., Pargament, D., Portman, M., Reisman-Berman, O., Safriel, U.N., Schaffer, G.,

- 540 Steiner, N., Tauber, I., Levin, N., 2016. Priority questions and horizon scanning for conservation: a 541 comparative study. PLOS ONE 11, e0145978.
- 542 Koh, L.P., Ghazoul, J., 2010. Spatially explicit scenario analysis for reconciling agricultural expansion,
- forest protection, and carbon conservation in Indonesia. Proceedings of the National Academy ofSciences 107, 11140-11144.
- Lamb, J.B., Willis, B.L., Fiorenza, E.A., Couch, C.S., Howard, R., Rader, D.N., True, J.D., Kelly, L.A.,
- Ahmad, A., Jompa, J., Harvell, C.D., 2018. Plastic waste associated with disease on coral reefs.
 Science 359, 460.
- Laurance, W.F., Sayer, J., Cassman, K.G., 2014. Agricultural expansion and its impacts on tropical
 nature. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 29, 107-116.
- Lechner, A.M., Chan, F.K.S., Campos-Arceiz, A., 2018. Biodiversity conservation should be a core value of China's Belt and Road Initiative. Nature Ecology & Evolution 2, 408-409.
- Lee, J.S.H., Jaafar, Z., Tan, A.K.J., Carrasco, L.R., Ewing, J.J., Bickford, D.P., Webb, E.L., Koh, L.P., 2016.
- Toward clearer skies: challenges in regulating transboundary haze in Southeast Asia. Environmental
 Science & Policy 55, 87-95.
- Leimona, B., van Noordwijk, M., de Groot, R., Leemans, R., 2015. Fairly efficient, efficiently fair:
- lessons from designing and testing payment schemes for ecosystem services in Asia. EcosystemServices 12, 16-28.
- 558 Mantyka-Pringle, C.S., Visconti, P., Di Marco, M., Martin, T.G., Rondinini, C., Rhodes, J.R., 2015.
- 559 Climate change modifies risk of global biodiversity loss due to land-cover change. Biological560 Conservation 187, 103-111.
- 561 Maxwell, S.L., Fuller, R.A., Brooks, T.M., Watson, J.E.M., 2016. Biodiversity: The ravages of guns, nets 562 and bulldozers. Nature 536, 143-145.
- 563 McConkey, K.R., Prasad, S., Corlett, R.T., Campos-Arceiz, A., Brodie, J.F., Rogers, H., Santamaria, L.,
- 564 2012. Seed dispersal in changing landscapes. Biological Conservation 146, 1-13.
- 565 Miettinen, J., Shi, C., Liew, S.C., 2011. Deforestation rates in insular Southeast Asia between 2000 566 and 2010. Global Change Biology 17, 2261-2270.
- 567 Milliken, T., Shaw, J., 2012. The South Africa Viet Nam rhino horn trade nexus: a deadly
- 568 combination of institutional lapses, corrupt wildlife industry professionals and Asian crime
- 569 syndicates, Johannesburg, South Africa.
- 570 Mills Busa, J.H., 2012. Deforestation beyond borders: Addressing the disparity between production 571 and consumption of global resources. Conservation Letters 6, 192-199.
- 572 Mitchell, S.L., Edwards, D.P., Bernard, H., Coomes, D., Jucker, T., Davies, Z.G., Struebig, M.J., 2018.
- 573 Riparian reserves help protect forest bird communities in oil palm dominated landscapes. Journal of 574 Applied Ecology 55, 2744-2755.
- 575 Morgans, C.L., Meijaard, E., Santika, T., Law, E., Budiharta, S., Ancrenaz, M., Wilson, K.A., 2018.
- 576 Evaluating the effectiveness of palm oil certification in delivering multiple sustainability objectives.
- 577 Environmental Research Letters 13, 064032.
- 578 Morris, J.L., Cottrell, S., Fettig, C.J., Hansen, W.D., Sherriff, R.L., Carter, V.A., Clear, J.L., Clement, J.,
- 579 DeRose, R.J., Hicke, J.A., Higuera, P.E., Mattor, K.M., Seddon, A.W.R., Seppä, H.T., Stednick, J.D.,
- 580 Seybold, S.J., 2016. Managing bark beetle impacts on ecosystems and society: priority questions to 581 motivate future research. Journal of Applied Ecology 54, 750-760.
- 582 Morton, S.R., Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Lindenmayer, D.B., Olson, M.H., Hughes, L., McCulloch, M.T.,
- 583 McIntyre, S., Nix, H.A., Prober, S.M., Saunders, D.A., Andersen, A.N., Burgman, M.A., Lefroy, E.C.,
- 584 Lonsdale, W.M., Lowe, I., McMichael, A.J., Parslow, J.S., Steffen, W., Williams, J.E., Woinarski, J.C.Z.,
- 585 2009. The big ecological questions inhibiting effective environmental management in Australia.
- 586 Austral Ecology 34, 1-9.

- 587 Nijman, V., 2010. An overview of international wildlife trade from Southeast Asia. Biodiversity and
 588 Conservation 19, 1101-1114.
- 589 Oldekop, J.A., Holmes, G., Harris, W.E., Evans, K.L., 2015. A global assessment of the social and 590 conservation outcomes of protected areas. Conservation Biology 30, 133-141.
- 591 Papworth, S., Rao, M., Oo, M.M., Latt, K.T., Tizard, R., Pienkowski, T., Carrasco, L.R., 2017. The
- impact of gold mining and agricultural concessions on the tree cover and local communities in
- 593 northern Myanmar. Scientific Reports 7, Ar 46594.
- Parsons, E.C.M., Favaro, B., Aguirre, A.A., Bauer, A.L., Blight, L.K., Cigliano, J.A., Coleman, M.A., CÔTÉ,
- 595 I.M., Draheim, M., Fletcher, S., Foley, M.M., Jefferson, R., Jones, M.C., Kelaher, B.P., Lundquist, C.J.,
- McCarthy, J.-B., Nelson, A., Patterson, K., Walsh, L., Wright, A.J., Sutherland, W.J., 2014. Seventy-one
 important questions for the conservation of marine biodiversity. Conservation Biology 28, 1206-
- 598 1214.
- Pienkowski, T., Dickens, B.L., Sun, H., Carrasco, L.R., 2017. Empirical evidence of the public health
 benefits of tropical forest conservation in Cambodia: a generalised linear mixed-effects model
- analysis. The Lancet Planetary Health 1, e180-e187.
- Poole, C.M., Shepherd, C.R., 2016. Shades of grey: the legal trade in CITES-listed birds in Singapore,
 notably the globally threatened African grey parrot Psittacus erithacus. Oryx 51, 411-417.
- 604 Prescott, G.W., Sutherland, W.J., Aguirre, D., Baird, M., Bowman, V., Brunner, J., Connette, G.M.,
- 605 Cosier, M., Dapice, D., Alban, J.D.T., Diment, A., Fogerite, J., Fox, J., Hlaing, W., Htun, S., Hurd, J.,
- LaJeunesse Connette, K., Lasmana, F., Lim, C.L., Lynam, A., Maung, A.C., McCarron, B., McCarthy, J.F.,
- 607 McShea, W.J., Momberg, F., Mon, M.S., Myint, T., Oberndorf, R., Oo, T.N., Phelps, J., Rao, M.,
- 608 Schmidt-Vogt, D., Speechly, H., Springate-Baginski, O., Steinmetz, R., Talbott, K., Than, M.M.,
- 609 Thaung, T.L., Thawng, S.C.L., Thein, K.M., Thein, S., Tizard, R., Whitten, T., Williams, G., Wilson, T.,
- 610 Woods, K., Ziegler, A.D., Zrust, M., Webb, E.L., 2017. Political transition and emergent forest-
- 611 conservation issues in Myanmar. Conservation Biology 31, 1257-1270.
- 612 Pretty, J., Sutherland, W.J., Ashby, J., Auburn, J., Baulcombe, D., Bell, M., Bentley, J., Bickersteth, S.,
- Brown, K., Burke, J., Campbell, H., Chen, K., Crowley, E., Crute, I., Dobbelaere, D., Edwards-Jones, G.,
- Funes-Monzote, F., Godfray, H.C.J., Griffon, M., Gypmantisiri, P., Haddad, L., Halavatau, S., Herren,
- H., Holderness, M., Izac, A.-M., Jones, M., Koohafkan, P., Lal, R., Lang, T., McNeely, J., Mueller, A.,
- 616 Nisbett, N., Noble, A., Pingali, P., Pinto, Y., Rabbinge, R., Ravindranath, N.H., Rola, A., Roling, N.,
- 617 Sage, C., Settle, W., Sha, J.M., Shiming, L., Simons, T., Smith, P., Strzepeck, K., Swaine, H., Terry, E.,
- Tomich, T.P., Toulmin, C., Trigo, E., Twomlow, S., Vis, J.K., Wilson, J., Pilgrim, S., 2010. The top 100
- 619 questions of importance to the future of global agriculture. International Journal of Agricultural
- 620 Sustainability 8, 219-236.
- 621 Putz, F.E., Zuidema, P.A., Synnott, T., Peña-Claros, M., Pinard, M.A., Sheil, D., Vanclay, J.K., Sist, P.,
- 622 Gourlet-Fleury, S., Griscom, B., Palmer, J., Zagt, R., 2012. Sustaining conservation values in selectively 623 logged tropical forests: the attained and the attainable. Conservation Letters 5, 296-303
- logged tropical forests: the attained and the attainable. Conservation Letters 5, 296-303.
- Richards, D.R., Friess, D.A., 2016. Rates and drivers of mangrove deforestation in Southeast Asia,
 2000–2012. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 113, 344.
- Rosen, G.E., Smith, K.F., 2010. Summarizing the evidence on the international trade in illegal wildlife.
 EcoHealth 7, 24-32.
- 628 Rudd, M.A., Beazley, K.F., Cooke, S.J., Fleishman, E., Lane, D.E., Mascia, M.B., Roth, R., Tabor, G.,
- 629 Bakker, J.A., Bellefontaine, T., Berteaux, D., Cantin, B., Chaulk, K.G., Cunningham, K., Dobell, R.O.D.,
- 630 Fast, E., Ferrara, N., Findlay, C.S., Hallstrom, L.K., Hammond, T., Hermanutz, L., Hutchings, J.A.,
- 631 Lindsay, K.E., Marta, T.J., Nguyen, V.M., Northey, G., Prior, K., Ramirez-Sanchez, S., Rice, J., Sleep,
- 632 D.J.H., Szabo, N.D., Trottier, G., Toussaint, J.-P., Veilleux, J.-P., 2010. Generation of priority research
- 633 questions to inform conservation policy and management at a national level. Conservation Biology
- 634 25, 476-484.

- Runting, R.K., Meijaard, E., Abram, N.K., Wells, J.A., Gaveau, D.L.A., Ancrenaz, M., Possingham, H.P.,
- 636 Wich, S.A., Ardiansyah, F., Gumal, M.T., Ambu, L.N., Wilson, K.A., 2015. Alternative futures for
- Borneo show the value of integrating economic and conservation targets across borders. NatureCommunications 6, 6819.
- 639 Santika, T., Meijaard, E., Wilson, K.A., 2015. Designing multifunctional landscapes for forest
 640 conservation. Environmental Research Letters 10, 114012.
- 641 Schneider, A., Mertes, C.M., Tatem, A.J., Tan, B., Sulla-Menashe, D., Graves, S.J., Patel, N.N., Horton,
- J.A., Gaughan, A.E., Rollo, J.T., Schelly, I.H., Stevens, F.R., Dastur, A., 2015. A new urban landscape in
 East–Southeast Asia, 2000–2010. Environmental Research Letters 10, 034002.
- 644 Sodhi, N.S., Butler, R., Laurance, W.F., Gibson, L., 2011. Conservation successes at micro-, meso- and 645 macroscales. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 26, 585-594.
- 646 Sodhi, N.S., Lee, T.M., Sekercioglu, C.H., Webb, E.L., Prawiradilaga, D.M., Lohman, D.J., Pierce, N.E.,
- Diesmos, A.C., Rao, M., Ehrlich, P.R., 2010a. Local people value environmental services provided by
- 648 forested parks. Biodiversity and Conservation 19, 1175-1188.
- 649 Sodhi, N.S., Posa, M.R.C., Lee, T.M., Bickford, D., Koh, L.P., Brook, B.W., 2010b. The state and 650 conservation of Southeast Asian biodiversity. Biodiversity and Conservation 19, 317-328.
- 651 Steinmetz, R., Srirattanaporn, S., Mor-Tip, J., Seuaturien, N., 2014. Can community outreach alleviate
- poaching pressure and recover wildlife in South-East Asian protected areas? Journal of Applied
- 653 Ecology 51, 1469-1478.
- 654 Sutherland, W.J., Adams, W.M., Aronson, R.B., Aveling, R., Blackburn, T.M., Broad, S., Ceballos, G.,
- 655 Côté, I.M., Cowling, R.M., Da Fonseca, G.A.B., Dinerstein, E., Ferraro, P.J., Fleishman, E., Gascon, C.,
- Hunter Jr, M., Hutton, J., Kareiva, P., Kuria, A., Macdonald, D.W., Mackinnon, K., Madgwick, F.J.,
- Mascia, M.B., McNeely, J., Milner-Gulland, E.J., Moon, S., Morley, C.G., Nelson, S., Osborn, D., Pai,
- M., Parsons, E.C.M., Peck, L.S., Possingham, H., Prior, S.V., Pullin, A.S., Rands, M.R.W., Ranganathan,
- J., Redford, K.H., Rodriguez, J.P., Seymour, F., Sobel, J., Sodhi, N.S., Stott, A., Vance-Borland, K.,
- Watkinson, A.R., 2009. One hundred questions of importance to the conservation of global biologicaldiversity. Conservation Biology 23, 557-567.
- 662 Sutherland, W.J., Fleishman, E., Mascia, M.B., Pretty, J., Rudd, M.A., 2011. Methods for
- 663 collaboratively identifying research priorities and emerging issues in science and policy. Methods in664 Ecology and Evolution 2, 238-247.
- Tydecks, L., Jeschke, J.M., Wolf, M., Singer, G., Tockner, K., 2018. Spatial and topical imbalances in
 biodiversity research. PLOS ONE 13, e0199327.
- 667 Weeks, R., Adams, V.M., 2017. Research priorities for conservation and natural resource
- 668 management in Oceania's small-island developing states. Conservation Biology 32, 72-83.
- 669 Wilcove, D.S., Giam, X., Edwards, D.P., Fisher, B., Koh, L.P., 2013. Navjot's nightmare revisited:
- logging, agriculture, and biodiversity in Southeast Asia. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 28, 531-540.
- Wilson, K.A., Auerbach, N.A., Sam, K., Magini, A.G., Moss, A.S.L., Langhans, S.D., Budiharta, S.,
- Terzano, D., Meijaard, E., 2016. Conservation research is not happening where it is most needed.
 PLOS Biology 14, e1002413.
- 674 Woodruff, D.S., 2010. Biogeography and conservation in Southeast Asia: how 2.7 million years of
- repeated environmental fluctuations affect today's patterns and the future of the remaining
 refugial-phase biodiversity. Biodiversity and Conservation 19, 919-941.
- 677 Yong, D.L., Jain, A., Liu, Y., Iqbal, M., Choi, C.-Y., Crockford, N.J., Millington, S., Provencher, J., 2017.
- 678 Challenges and opportunities for transboundary conservation of migratory birds in the East Asian-
- Australasian flyway. Conservation Biology 32, 740-743.
- 680 Zarfl, C., Lumsdon, A.E., Berlekamp, J., Tydecks, L., Tockner, K., 2015. A global boom in hydropower
- dam construction. Aquatic Sciences 77, 161-170.